EXHIBIT 12

```
1
                UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2.
               NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 3
                      SAN JOSE DIVISION
 4
                                      )
5
     CHASOM BROWN, WILLIAM BYATT, ) CASE NO.:
     JEREMY DAVIS, CHRISTOPHER
6
     CASTILLO, and MONIQUE TRUJILLO, )5:20-cv-03664-
                                    )LHK-SVK
     individually and on behalf of
7
     all other similarly situated,
                                      )
                                      )
                       Plaintiffs,
8
9
               v.
10
    GOOGLE, LLC,
                       Defendant.
11
12
13
14
15
16
                DEPOSITION OF GLENN BERNTSON
17
                          VOLUME I
18
             REMOTELY IN LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA
19
                   FRIDAY, MARCH 18, 2022
20
21
22
23
    REPORTED BY: NATALIE PARVIZI-AZAD, CSR, RPR, RSR
2.4
                   CSR NO. 14125
25
    JOB NO.:
                   5142481
                                                Page 1
```

```
1
                UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2.
               NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3
                      SAN JOSE DIVISION
4
5
    CHASOM BROWN, WILLIAM BYATT, ) CASE NO.:
    JEREMY DAVIS, CHRISTOPHER
6
    CASTILLO, AND MONIQUE TRUJILLO, )5:20-cv-03664-
    INDIVIDUALLY AND ON BEHALF OF
                                      )LHK-SVK
7
    ALL OTHER SIMILARLY SITUATED,
                       Plaintiffs,
8
9
               v.
10
    GOOGLE, LLC,
                       Defendant.
11
12
13
14
15
16
       DEPOSITION OF GLENN BERNTSON, VOLUME I
17
       TAKEN ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFFS
       REMOTELY VIA ZOOM VIDEO CONFERENCING, IN
18
19
       LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, BEGINNING AT
20
       10:36 A.M. AND ENDING AT 5:01 P.M., ON
       FRIDAY, MARCH 18, 2022, BEFORE
21
22
       NATALIE PARVIZI-AZAD, CERTIFIED SHORTHAND
23
       REPORTER NUMBER 14125.
2.4
25
                                              Page 2
```

1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	FOR THE PLAINTIFF, CHASOM BROWN, WILLIAM BYATT,
	JEREMY DAVIS, CHRISTOPHER CASTILLO, AND MONIQUE
4	TRUJILLO, INDIVIDUALLY AND ON BEHALF OF ALL OTHER
	SIMILARLY SITUATED:
5	BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER
6	BY: MARK MAO, ESQ.
	BY: ERIKA NYBORG-BURCH, ESQ.
7	44 MONTGOMERY STREET
	41ST FLOOR
8	SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94104
	(415) 293-6800
9	MMAO@BSFLLP.COM
10	- AND -
11	SUSMAN GODFREY
	BY: AMANDA BONN, ESQ.
12	1900 AVENUE OF THE STARS
	SUITE 1400
13	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90067
	(310) 789-3131
14	ABONN@SUSMANGODFREY.COM
15	
16	FOR THE PLAINTIFFS IN "CALHOUN V GOOGLE":
17	SIMMONS HANLY CONROY
18	BY: AN TRUONG, ESQ.
19	112 MADISON AVENUE
20	7TH FLOOR
21	NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10016
22	(212) 257-8482
23	ATRUONG@SIMMONSFIRM.COM
24	
25	APPEARANCES CONTINUED ON THE FOLLOWING PAGE.

1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	FOR THE DEFENDANTS, GOOGLE, LLC:
4	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART AND SULLIVAN
5	BY: JOSEF ANSORGE, ESQ.
6	BY: TRACEY GAO, ESQ.
7	300 I STREET NORTHWEST
8	SUITE 900
9	WASHINGTON, DC 20005
10	(202) 538-8000
11	JOSEFANSORGE@QUINNEMANUEL.COM
12	TRACYGAO@QUINNEMANUEL.COM
13	
14	ALSO PRESENT:
15	JOANN YAGER, VIDEOGRAPHER;
16	TONI BAKER, GOOGLE IN-HOUSE COUNSEL
17	
18	
19	
2 0	
21	
22	
23	
2 4	
25	
	Page 4

1	you just said about PPID never being linked to
2	any ID.
3	So how does that differ from a
4	PPID-mapped Biscotti? What's the difference in
5	how you're using the word linked from mapped 14:39:47
6	there?
7	A. No, what I described is that its
8	representation in different parts of the system
9	will change, but it's still logically the same
10	ID. And what I mean by that is the PPID, when 14:40:01
11	a publisher passes us this thing to represent
12	their user, it comes in as a string. And when
13	we receive this value, we hash it, and then we
14	take the hashed value, the hashed string
15	provided by the publisher, we then add to it 14:40:28
16	the network ID of the publisher.
17	And so with this, we have sort of what
18	is, to the publisher, a unique representation
19	of the user. And when we store it, we store it
20	with the publisher identify the publisher 14:40:48
21	network code, which is unique to that
22	publisher. And when this comes in the first
23	time, we generate a new random number, and we
24	associate that random number which is an
25	integer and we store it alongside what we 14:41:06
	Page 120

1	pay for an ad being shown to a user, it's a	
2	waste of their money to show the user the same	
3	ad over and other again.	
4	And so, one of the things that is used	
5	fairly commonly when there is a way to identify	4:49:37
6	a user, like with a PPID, is frequency capping.	
7	That is, the publisher on the reservation	
8	campaign can say, "You know, I've got this deal	
9	with this advertiser. I'm going to show this	
10	ad a million times over the next month, but I 1	4:49:54
11	want to make sure that I only show that ad once	
12	a week to any given user, " which means then	
13	that they if the publisher can tell the	
14	advertiser that "I've got this frequency	
15	capping in place, and they serve a million of 1	4:50:09
16	these ads, then the advertiser knows "Okay,	
17	with that frequency capping in place, my ad is	
18	being seen by lots and lots of people, which is	
19	good for me." So frequency capping is a key	
20	usage of IDs; probably the most important.	4:50:27
21	The other thing PPID can be used for	
22	are rules around things that are related to	
23	frequency capping. If a user has seen an ad	
24	from Nike, for example, a publisher may want to	
25	specify a rule saying, "Really I'm only if 1	4:50:53
	Page 1	126

1	I HAVE NOT, AND SHALL NOT, OFFER OR PROVIDE
2	ANY SERVICES OR PRODUCTS TO ANY PARTY'S ATTORNEY
3	OR THIRD PARTY WHO IS FINANCING ALL OR PART OF THE
4	ACTION WITHOUT FIRST OFFERING SAME TO ALL PARTIES
5	OR THEIR ATTORNEYS ATTENDING THE PROCEEDING AND
6	MAKING SAME AVAILABLE AT THE SAME TIME TO ALL
7	PARTIES OR THEIR ATTORNEYS. (CIV. PROC §
8	2025.320(B))
9	I SHALL NOT PROVIDE ANY SERVICE OR PRODUCT
10	CONSISTING OF THE CERTIFIED STENOGRAPHER'S
11	NOTATIONS OR COMMENTS REGARDING THE DEMEANOR OF
12	ANY WITNESS, ATTORNEY, OR PARTY PRESENT AT THE
13	PROCEEDING TO ANY PARTY OR ANY PARTY'S ATTORNEY OR
14	THIRD PARTY WHO IS FINANCING ALL OR PART OF THE
15	ACTION, NOR SHALL I COLLECT ANY PERSONAL
16	IDENTIFYING INFORMATION ABOUT THE WITNESS AS A
17	SERVICE OR PRODUCT TO BE PROVIDED TO ANY PARTY OR
18	THIRD PARTY WHO IS FINANCING ALL OR PART OF THE
19	ACTION. (CIV. PROC. § 2025.320(C))
20	DATED: MARCH 23, 2022
21	
22	
23	1 Paux · ··
24	N was
25	NATALIE PARVIZI-AZAD, CSR NO.14125
	Page 185